

Strings in Horizons, Dissipation and a Possible Interpretation of the Hagedorn Temperature.

M. C. B. Abdalla ^{†,1}, M. Botta Cantcheff ^{‡ 2}, Daniel L. Nedel ^{† *,3}

[†] Instituto de Física Teórica (IFT/UNESP)
Rua Pamplona, 145 - Bela Vista
01405-900 - São Paulo, SP - Brasil.

[‡] Instituto de Física La Plata, CONICET, UNLP
CC 67, Calles 49 y 115, 1900 La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina

*UFPEL/UNIPAMPA-Bagé
Rua Carlos Barbosa S/N, Bairro Getúlio Vargas
96412-420 Bagé, RS, Brasil

Abstract

We consider the entanglement of closed bosonic strings intersecting the event horizon of a Rindler spacetime and, by using some simplified (rather semiclassical) arguments and some elements of the string field theory, we show the existence of a critical temperature beyond which closed strings *cannot be in thermal equilibrium*. The order of magnitude of this critical value coincides with the Hagedorn temperature, which suggests an interpretation consistent with the fact of having a partition function which is bad defined for temperatures higher than it. Possible implications of the present approach on the microscopical structure of stretched horizons are also pointed out.

1 Introduction

A central issue in string theory at finite temperature is the meaning of the Hagedorn temperature [1], which may be related to a critical acceleration via Unruh effect. Some authors argued that strings sufficiently near of an event horizon should be accelerated so much that this critical value would be exceeded, and an infinite energy would be required for this purpose; consequently, it is interpreted that the string would slip into the Black Hole [2]. The main question we are addressing is about the right way of describing this process.

Furthermore, on the other hand, a Black Hole interacts with systems which are at rest with respect to them (called fiducial systems) as a *dissipative* effective membrane placed on the horizon neighborhood. This idea was first used in astrophysics for a long time and referred to as the “membrane paradigm” [3]. More recently, it was revisited in the string theory context with the suggestive name of “stretched horizons” [4] with an important interest in its actual physical meaning and microscopical picture. We are going to see here that these two issues result to be related in the context of strings.

In fact, stretched horizons should be placed at a distance from the event horizon, related to the l_s string length scale [5]. According to some references (e. g. [2], [6]), the string is maximally accelerated at this distance, and the corresponding Unruh temperature is associated to the Hagedorn temperature. Here we propose that in this situation the event horizon intersects the worldsheet in order to show that, at the corresponding critical temperature/acceleration value, the string vacuum (seen by accelerated observers) is an entangled state of string modes living in the two causally disconnected regions. Notice that this *configuration* may be seen as two open strings ending precisely at the horizon and interpreted as a vertex diagram. Finally, we are going to argue that when a second quantized string theory is taken into account,

¹e-mail: mabdalla@ift.unesp.br

²e-mail: botta@cbpf.br

³e-mail: dnedel.unipampa@ufpel.edu.br

the corresponding Hamiltonian to such a configuration (vertex) of the closed string intersected by the event horizon is actually related to dissipative processes when a string field description is adopted. Some results recently reported show that the infrared behavior of theories whose dual bulk-gravities contain a black brane is governed by hydrodynamics [7, 8, 9]. The most interesting result in this sense is the discovery of a universal value for the ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density [10]. So, although we are considering a Rindler spacetime, the fact that the configurations of string at the horizon produce a “universal” dissipative Hamiltonian for open string might reveal something about the microscopic nature of this universal hydrodynamic behavior from the string’s perspective.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we study the entanglement of the string vacuum and point out its relation with the microscopical structure of the stretched horizon. We use some semiclassical arguments to show the existence of a critical temperature where these states arise. In Section 3 we use some elementary issues of light-cone string field theory to argue for the existence of dissipative process beyond that critical temperature. In Section 4, we summarize some concluding remarks where briefly discuss the possibility of identifying that critical value with the Hagedorn temperature (whose order of magnitude are in agreement), and its eventual significance in order to explain the singular behavior of the partition function beyond this value.

2 Entanglement of closed string in Rindler horizons and critical acceleration/temperature.

Let us consider a bosonic closed string in light-cone gauge (LCG). The general solution for the transversal (physical) degrees of freedom is

$$X^i(t, \sigma) = x^i + 2\alpha' p^i t + i\sqrt{\alpha'/2} \sum_{n \neq 0} \left(\alpha_n^i e^{-2in(t-\sigma)} + \beta_n^i e^{-2in(t+\sigma)} \right) / n \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma \in [0, 2\pi] \sim S^1$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the worldsheet manifold is $W \sim S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_t$ and the worldsheet metric components corresponding to these coordinates are given by $g_0 = \text{diag}(-1, 1)$. The field X defines an embedding of W into the spacetime M . In the presence of event horizons, the spacetime may be divided in two (for simplicity) regions denoted by $M^{in/out}$, causally disconnected/connected with certain class of observers.

The key idea in this simplified model is that, at least in a classical (and even semiclassical) sense, when the closed string (thought here as a classical extended object) intersects an event horizon at some point, no microscopical information goes through it, and this constitutes a critical regime. In this situation string vibrations are more and more red shifted so as they approximate to the point of intersection with the horizon, and are frozen at this point [11]. Let us consider now a convenient mathematical approach to this scenario.

For accelerated observers in the spacetime, the spacetime metric reads

$$dS^2 = -R^2 dT^2 + dR^2 + dX_i dX^i \quad (2)$$

which is called the Rindler metric. On the other hand, the spatial coordinate R may be expressed as

$$R^2 = X^+ X^- \quad (3)$$

in terms of the light cone coordinates.

The Rindler spacetime is simply the wedge $X^+ > 0, X^- > 0$ of the Minkowski spacetime, and its boundary (horizon) is given by the surfaces $X^+ = 0$ and $X^- = 0$ ⁴. A Rindler observer, following a timelike curve in one of these four regions (say, the wedge I) in which the Minkowski spacetime is divided,

⁴We refer to this as M^{out}

only detects microscopic information coming from this one. In fact, the horizon surfaces correspond to infinite values of the proper time of these uniformly accelerated observers, $T(X^\pm = 0) = \pm\infty$. So despite information may fall into the wedge II (in the causal future of the wedge I) crossing the null line $X^+ = 0$, it is not effectively observed by these Rindler observers in a finite proper time. In this precise sense one may refer to causal independence between the wedges.

The light cone gauge fixing consists in taking $t = X^\pm$ as time parameter of the string (LCG). In particular, if $t = X^+$ (notice that $t = X^-$ is an equivalent choice) we get

$$R^2 = tX^- \quad (4)$$

which shows that the (closed) string intersects the horizon at least in $t = 0$ (so as in worldsheet points such that $X^- = 0$). So, if the string worldsheet is $W \sim S^1 \times \mathbb{R}_t$, there is a complete circle where it intersects the horizon. This shows our initial assertion on the splitting in two regions $W^{in/out}$. Thus, in agreement with Refs. [17] [18], the string lying behind the horizon $t < 0$ affects the string state by entanglement. So, by requiring continuity of the embedding $X : W \rightarrow M$, the string states in the horizon are given by the gluing condition

$$(X_{in}^i - X_{out}^i)|_{t=0}|h\rangle = 0 \quad (5)$$

which actually constitutes a boundary state since it is implemented at $t = 0$. By using the expansion in modes (1) we may write

$$\beta_n^{in,i} - e^{i2n\lambda} \alpha_n^{out,i} |h\rangle = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\alpha_n^{in,i} - e^{-i2n\lambda} \beta_n^{out,i} |h\rangle = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$x_0^{in,i} - x_0^{out,i} |h\rangle = 0, \quad (8)$$

where $x_0^{in,i}, x_0^{out,i}$ denote both center of mass coordinates. We have defined the respective string coordinates up to a shift: $\sigma_{out} \equiv \sigma_{in} + \lambda$, so λ is the relative twist between the respective ends of the gluing strings [12]⁵. The solution of (6,7,8) may be expressed then as:

$$|h\rangle = N_H \delta(x_0^{in,i} - x_0^{out,i}) \prod_{n>0,i} e^{q_n \alpha_{-n}^{in,i} \beta_{-n}^{out,i}} \prod_{n>0,i} e^{\bar{q}_n \beta_{-n}^{in,i} \alpha_{-n}^{out,i}} |0\rangle \quad (9)$$

where $q_n = e^{i2n\lambda}$ and N_H is the proper normalizing constant.

Notice that in addition to the circle $t = 0$, the string intersects the horizon at the points $X^- = 0$. Classically, this condition defines a curve on the worldsheet $\sigma_H = S(t)$ for $t > 0$ which clearly separates the worldsheet in two parts, with topologies $[0, S(t)] \times \mathbb{R}_{t>0}$ and $[S(t), 2\pi] \times \mathbb{R}_{t>0}$ respectively, which are open sheets. So, in other words, if the world tube goes through the axis $X^- = 0$ along the time X^+ , the worldsheet points are separated in two sets $W^{in/out}$ defined such that the map $X^-(p \in W^{in/out})$ is positive/negative, respectively. In order to obtain the quantum states corresponding to this condition, once again, one might take the other possible choice consistent with the light cone gauge (LCG), namely $X^- = t$, which clearly provides the same state (9)⁶. The study of the quantum solutions of the condition $X^-|h\rangle = 0$ is an interesting issue in itself and will be investigated in another paper. However here, we are focused in showing that this situation may be described as a genuine entanglement between string degrees of freedom, viewed as a two dimensional field theory.

Let us study then the closed string entangled that we are talking about. From a strictly topological point of view, a closed string may be separated by the event horizon in two physical regions (simply connected or not), $W^{in/out} / X(W^{in/out}) = M^{in/out}$, which are clearly *open*.

⁵The signal of t is inverted in the horizon so, in order to define both fields $X^{in/out}$ as parameterized by a positive time parameter, it is required that right(*in/out*)/left(*in/out*) modes be defined such that they contribute both to the same term in the expansion of the general solution of eq. (5).

⁶Notice that by quantizing the condition $X^- = 0$, thought X^- as the time parameter, corresponds to view the interval $[0, S(t)]$ as the evolution time rather than the (open) string range of the coordinate σ . This resembles the transformation which interchanges closed into open channel.

Because this is a local free theory, $\mathcal{H}_{closed}$ can be trivially separated in the direct product of two independent Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}[S^{in}] \otimes \mathcal{H}[S^{out}]$ where $S^{in} \cup S^{out} = S^1$. To avoid an (in principle) arbitrary confusion with standard open strings (defined by Dirichlet/Neumann combinations of boundary conditions) we name them “c-open strings”. In fact we can define the fields $X^{in/out}$ as the restriction of the field X to each wedge $W^{in/out}$ and quantize them with boundary matching conditions, $X^{out}|_{\partial S^{out}} = X^{in}|_{\partial S^{in}}$. The solutions simply are the restriction of the solution (1) to the respective intervals. So, the vacuum state of a closed string may be written as a tensor product of two states in $\mathcal{H}^{in} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{out}$, namely $|0_{in}\rangle|0_{out}\rangle$. Then, it is evident that in this situation the local degrees of freedom of the part of the string behind the horizon (W^{in}) produce *entanglement* and the fundamental state of the system is a mixed/entangled state which clearly differs from the no-entangled vacuum state where all the local degrees of freedom of the closed string are causally connected ⁷.

So in this sense, we wish to show that the problem may be handled as in the Unruh-Hawking effect, where an ordinary field theory is quantized on a two-dimensional base manifold (the world-sheet) with an event horizon (see Ref.[14]). We are going to show indeed that when a closed string intersects the event horizon of accelerated observers, the string vacuum coincides with the boundary state (9) which entangles inner and outer string modes, and it is related to the inertial vacuum state through a Bogoliubov transformation.

To this purpose we may observe that the embedding $X : W \rightarrow M$, assumed to be smooth, induces a compatible worldsheet metric through the expression

$$g_{(W)ab} = \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu g_{(M)\mu\nu}. \quad (10)$$

In particular, it is straightforward to verify that the worldsheet element of distance induced from (2) reads⁸

$$ds^2 = -r^2 d\tau^2 + dr^2, \quad (11)$$

where the vector field $\tau^a := \nabla^a \tau$ ($a = 0, 1$) in the worldsheet is related to the d -vector tangent to the congruence of accelerated curves (Rindler observers), $\tau^\mu \equiv \nabla^\mu T$, ($\mu = 0..d$), through the expression.

$$\tau^\mu = \partial_a X^\mu \tau^a, \quad (12)$$

given by the pull-back corresponding to the string embedding. Similarly, we have defined the parameter $r^a := \nabla^a r$ as related to the Rindler’s spatial coordinate $R_\mu := \partial_\mu R$ through the pull-back

$$R^\mu = r^a \partial_a X^\mu. \quad (13)$$

This actually describes the worldsheet geometry in a neighborhood of the horizon.

Notice that the worldsheet horizon, defined by $r = 0$, actually describes the intersection of the string with a horizon in *the space time* for a time string parameter τ chosen in agreement with the proper time of certain congruence of time like curves in the spacetime associated with a particular class of (properly accelerated) observers. If $p \in H_W$, the time-time component of $g_{(W)}$ vanishes at this point, then (since the pull-back is well defined the character -time like- of a vector is preserved by this) automatically the time-time component of $g_{(M)}$ also vanishes at $X(p)$,

$$0 = g_{(W)\tau\tau}|_p = g_{(W)ab} \tau^a \tau^b|_p = g_{(M)\mu\nu}|_{X(p)} (\partial_a X^\mu \tau^a|_p) (\partial_b X^\nu \tau^b|_p) = g_{(M)\tau\tau}|_{X(p)}, \quad (14)$$

then $X(p) \in H_M$, the horizon of the observers that follow time-like curves generated by τ^μ . The same statement holds in the inverse sense, and therefore we have that: The horizons of the respective congruences, related by (12), are such that $p \in H_W$ if and only if $X(p) \in H_M$. However, let us remark that

⁷We remarkably notice that in principle, an arbitrary separation of a closed string in two c-open strings may always be considered, but it is merely a formal construction except in the situation where a closed string intersects a horizon. This will become clearer in the last Section when we describe this as a vertex whose contribution shall be irrelevant except in presence of the horizon.

⁸For more details, see Appendix.

the presence of H_W does not imply a coincident H_M , unless do exist spacetime observers whose proper time are *synchronized* with the time string parameter (as it is the case of the particular class of Rindler observers we are considering in this framework)⁹. This is a useful property for the situation we are analyzing and simplifies considerably the analysis, since it allows us to deal with the problem of describing the string intersecting an event horizon directly in the *worldsheet*, rather than imposing this condition in the target space¹⁰. Thus, it is actually natural to interpret the relation (12) in a semiclassical sense (taking expectation value in the right hand side), since the target vector τ^μ refers to the $d+1$ -velocity of *classical* observers. One may therefore define classical frames (associated to observers in the spacetime) such they detect the horizon $H_M \cap H_W = X(H_W)$ in this way.

This picture is similar to the Unruh-Hawking scenario and we may follow the standard procedure, consisting in quantizing $D-2$ ordinary scalar fields on a two dimensional (lorentzian) manifold W in both accelerated and inertial frames and find out the Bogoliubov transformation which relates the respective Fock spaces.

In the LCG, the physical degrees of freedom dynamics for closed bosonic string is governed by the equation

$$\square X^i = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, d-1 \quad (15)$$

for the transversal coordinates of Rindler observers [11], which is also valid for inertial coordinates.

In particular, in order to quantize the system and to define the Hilbert space, we will take a worldsheet foliation in Cauchy surfaces (topologically S^1) whose parameter coincides with the proper time of a uniformly accelerated observer in the target space time.¹¹ In this case we use the Rindler coordinates (r, τ) locally induced from the Rindler ones as shown above, and the local string equation we have to solve is

$$(-r^{-2}\partial_\tau^2 + \partial_r^2 + r^{-1}\partial_r) X^i = 0 \quad (16)$$

in each patch (chart) of this type of coordinates in order to cover the worldsheet manifold. Solutions of this are well known [13]; then, by considering the proper conditions of smooth matching between the solutions on each chart, and the periodicity conditions, we may construct a complete set of eigenfunctions $U_n(\tau, r)$ orthonormal with respect to the scalar product

$$(X_1, X_2) = \sum_i \imath \int_i X_1 \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_\tau X_2 = \sum_i \imath \int_{r_i^-}^{r_i^+} dr (X_1 \partial_\tau X_2 - X_2 \partial_\tau X_1), \quad (17)$$

while the usual string solution in the Minkowski background is (1) (with the Minkowskian scalar product, $(X_1, X_2) = \imath \int_{S^1} d\sigma X_1 \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_t X_2$).

The general solution for closed bosonic string in these coordinates may be expressed as:

$$X^i(\tau, r) = x^i + i\sqrt{\alpha'/2} \sum_\eta \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(b_n^{i(\eta)} U_n^{*(\eta)}(\tau, r) + \bar{b}_n^{i(\eta)} U_n^{(\eta)}(\tau, r) \right) \quad (18)$$

In (18) the symbol $\eta = in/out$ (and $-\eta = out/in$) takes into account the fact that the worldsheet has a horizon, so it is divided into two causally disconnected regions or wedges. Following the standard procedure [14], [13], we can introduce the operators $d_n^\eta = \sum_{n \neq 0} P_n^\eta \alpha_n$, $\bar{d}_n^\eta = \sum_{n \neq 0} P_n^\eta \beta_n$, where P_n^η is a complete set of orthogonal functions, and now the operators $b_n^{(\eta)}$ and $d_n^{(\eta)}$ can be related by a Bogoliubov

⁹In Ref. [19] there are examples where worldsheet horizons may not coincide with spacetime horizon crossings.

¹⁰In other words, the string coordinates are *operators* which should be equaled to the horizon coordinates, which are *c-numbers*. So this should be implemented on states rather than on operators, which should be similar to (5)

¹¹In fact, the worldsheet manifold W may be decomposed as a collection of spatial one dimensional manifolds $\Sigma_t \sim S^1$ and the scalar product is canonically defined by $(X_1, X_2) = \imath \int_{\Sigma_t} X_1 \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial} X_2 = \imath \int_{\Sigma_t} X_1 \partial_t X_2 - X_2 \partial_t X_1$ which allows to quantize the field X^i and to construct the corresponding Hilbert/Fock space.

transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} b_n^{(\eta)} &= d_n^{(\eta)} \cosh(\epsilon_n) + \bar{d}_n^{(\eta)\dagger} \sinh(\epsilon_n) = G(\epsilon_n) d_n^{(\eta)} G^{-1}(\epsilon_n), \\ \bar{b}_n^{(\eta)\dagger} &= d_n^{(\eta)} \sinh(\epsilon_n) + \bar{d}_n^{(-\eta)\dagger} \cosh(\epsilon_n) = G(\epsilon_n) \bar{d}_n^{(-\eta)\dagger} G^{-1}(\epsilon_n), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where the coefficients ϵ_n depend on the coordinate transformation parameters, then they shall be related to the acceleration of the observer in the spacetime, and $G(\epsilon)$ reads:

$$G(\epsilon) = \exp \left[\sum_{\eta} \sum_n \theta \epsilon_n \left(d_n^{(\eta)} \bar{d}_n^{(-\eta)} - d_n^{(\eta)\dagger} \bar{d}_n^{(-\eta)\dagger} \right) \right]. \quad (20)$$

It is clear that when the string does not intersect the horizon, the G transformation is trivial (it maps $|0_0\rangle_{out}$ into itself)¹². In order to describe this, we have inserted the parameter θ , defined to be 1 if there is a horizon in the string worldsheet and 0 otherwise.

While the operators $d_n^{(\eta)}$, $\bar{d}_n^{(\eta)}$ annihilate the vacuum state $|0_0\rangle = |0_0\rangle_+ |0_0\rangle_-$, (referred to the two-dimensional Minkowski metric g_0), the operators $b_n^{(\eta)}$, $\bar{b}_n^{(\eta)}$ annihilate the vacuum:

$$|0(\epsilon)\rangle = G(\epsilon) |0_0\rangle, \quad (21)$$

that can be written as a $SU(1,1) \times SU(1,1)$ coherent state:

$$|0(\epsilon)\rangle = (1/Z) \exp \left[\theta \sum_{\eta} \sum_n (\tanh \epsilon_n) (d_n^{(\eta)\dagger} \bar{d}_n^{(-\eta)}) \right] |0_0\rangle, \quad (22)$$

where

$$Z = Z[\epsilon] \equiv \prod_n \cosh^2 \epsilon_n. \quad (23)$$

We conclude this part by noticing that the boundary state (9) may be recovered from the expression of the fundamental state (22) for a suitable λ , as we should expect. In particular we get: $\tanh \epsilon_n = q_n(\lambda)$, and the occupation number of the string modes is given by $N_n = \sinh^2 \epsilon_n$, which agrees with a Bose-Einstein distribution of string modes at the temperature $i(4\lambda)^{-1}$. Therefore, the string twist produced by the horizon may be interpreted in terms of the temperature/acceleration of the Rindler observer¹³.

Clearly, this *vacuum state* consists of a condensate of string modes placed on the horizon region¹⁴ which describes the critical point where the *transition* closed (no-entangled) to c-open (entangled) string happens. Then one may discuss the actual physical meaning of this transition in a semiclassical language.

In this particular situation, when the closed string (thought here as a classical extended object) intersects the horizon at some point, the center of mass of the string is approximately at a distance $r_c \equiv l_s/2\pi$ from this intersection point, where the circumference length of the closed string is l_s .

On the other hand, the force/acceleration that has to be applied at the center of mass point in order to get a fiducial string¹⁵ is given by the inverse of its distance (see Ref. [2]) to the horizon, $a_c \sim 1/r_c$. Then, since the order of magnitude of the closed string circumference is $l_s \sim \sqrt{2\alpha'}$, we finally conclude that $a_c = 2\pi/l_s \sim 2\pi/\sqrt{2\alpha'}$. Finally this acceleration may be related to a critical temperature via Unruh effect, since the system at this point feels a thermal bath of temperature $T_c \sim a_c$. So, because the string is an extended object, when the acceleration of the center of mass point exceeds a_c , an event horizon intersects the worldsheet and degrees of freedom behind it become causally independent, and the

¹²In general entanglement theory $H = H^{out} - H^{in}$ and $[G, H] = 0$; then if the worldsheet metric is horizon free, the Hamiltonian is $H \equiv \int_{S^1 \sim S^{out}} h dr = H^{out}$, then we get $[G, H^{out}] = 0$.

¹³In this case, it shall be thus interpreted as a purely imaginary twist $\lambda \equiv i\beta/4$.

¹⁴A similar condensed state was found in [15], when a closed string approaches the null singularity of the pp-wave time dependent background.

¹⁵Since this situation is assumed to be stationary (i.e the string does not fall into the causally prohibited region), the string has to be accelerated.

entanglement produced by these hidden degrees of freedom becomes non trivial. This critical value is indeed similar to the Hagedorn Temperature, $T_H \sim 1/\sqrt{2\alpha'}$; so at least, it may be affirmed that *their order of magnitude are in agreement*. This coincidence suggests a possible identification of both values and consequently a plausible model for the Hagedorn transition¹⁶, however this is merely an speculation which cannot be rigorously shown in the simplified context we are considering here.

Let us conclude this section by pointing out that this condensed state exists for accelerated (fiducial, for black hole horizons) observers so as in the “membrane paradigm”¹⁷ [3], or, in the modern language of strings and branes, the “stretched horizon” [4, 5]. So here, we wish to emphasize that this could constitute an appropriate microscopical *model* for this object¹⁸, which may be expressed as a boundary state (eq. (9)) localized in the horizon.

In fact, it is believed that the stretched horizon is a hyper-surface, that for fiducial observers, behaves like an extended object with dissipative characteristics, a membrane. We are going to show in the next section how a dissipative behavior appears naturally in the scenario presented here.

3 String field and dissipative behavior.

If the coherent state (22) is assumed to be a microscopic description of the membrane paradigm, which has dissipative attributes [3], a dissipative behaviour should be then expected to arise in this framework, and as a by-product of the arguments given in the previous section, it should be related to the Hagedorn scale.

The goal of this Section is to argue for the dissipative behavior of strings in contact with a horizon discussed above when we consider a second quantized description, namely a string field approach. To this purpose we will only use very basic and generic properties of light-cone string field theory which are supposed to be valid in this context [23], to argue the existence of interaction terms between inaccessible/accessible (in/out) modes in a second quantized Hamiltonian. We will also use some simple arguments of the “Non Equilibrium Thermofield Dynamics” [20, 21, 22], a unified and canonical formalism which extends the Thermofield Dynamics (TFD)[16] to quantum dissipative systems. According to this, such a term would be an evidence of dissipation, or more weakly: out of equilibrium processes, since an interaction between accessible/inaccessible modes describes energy-momentum exchange among them, which clearly causes lost of microscopic information into the inaccessible system.

In light-cone string field theory, the interaction diagrams are constructed by demanding the continuity of worldsheet embedding, which defines a set of gluing equations. The solution of the gluing equations are boundary states, which are vertex states living in the multi-string Hilbert space. For each vertex state there is a second quantized Hamiltonian which defines the string interaction. This is exactly what we have here. We have shown that Rindler observers see the closed string intersecting the horizon as an entanglement of strings, defined by equation (22)(or (9)). On the other hand, this state may be thought as a squeezed *vertex* state $|V\rangle$ in the 2-string Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{in} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{out}$, corresponding to two c-open strings as discussed before. In fact, it is clear that the configuration described above constitutes a *diagram* at tree level of two strings interacting while their respective boundaries make contact on the horizon hypersurface and the gluing equations are defined in (5). This may be expressed as follows:

$$|V\rangle = \sum_{\vec{N}_1, \vec{N}_2} C(\vec{N}_1, \vec{N}_2) |\vec{N}_1, in\rangle |\vec{N}_2, out\rangle := |0(\epsilon)\rangle, \quad (24)$$

where the coefficients may be explicitly expressed by

$$C(\vec{N}_1, \vec{N}_2) = \langle \vec{N}_1, in | \langle \vec{N}_2, out | G | 0_0 \rangle, \quad (25)$$

¹⁶Consisting in the appearing of two (or more) causally disconnected regions in the worldsheet. This should finally depend only on the temperature rather than on a relative magnitude as the acceleration.

¹⁷For observers which remain stationary with respect to the black hole (and do not fall into), the horizon region is effectively viewed as a membrane.

¹⁸For a discussion about the relation of this type of coherent states to macroscopical ones, see [16].

where G is given by (22). Notice that in each term of equation(24) the quantum numbers $\vec{N}_1, \vec{N}_2$ coincide, since $C(\vec{N}_1, \vec{N}_2) = 0 \quad \forall \vec{N}_1 \neq \vec{N}_2$. By projecting these basis states in the position base, we get the wave functions for the $\vec{N}$ -th level:

$$\langle x | \vec{N} \rangle = \prod_n^\infty \Psi_{N_n}(x_n), \quad (26)$$

where we are using the usual notation of light-cone string field theory, whose oscillation modes shall be built according to the expansion (18) and the component N_n of the vector $\vec{N}$ corresponds to the occupation number of the oscillator n .

Let us define the functional field $\Phi^{in}[p_1^+, x_1(\sigma), \sigma \in S^{in}]$ of the string living in one side of the horizon and a functional $\Phi^{out}[p_2^+, x_2(\sigma), \sigma \in S^{out}]$ of the string living in the other side. The respective light cone momenta are p_1^+ and p_2^+ and the expansion of the fields in position space is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{in}(p_1^+, x_1(\sigma)) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|p_1^+|}} \sum_{\vec{N}} A_{\vec{N}}^{in}(p_1^+) \prod_n^\infty \Psi_{N_n}(x_n), \\ \Phi^{out}(p_2^+, x_2(\sigma)) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|p_2^+|}} \sum_{\vec{N}} A_{\vec{N}}^{out}(p_2^+) \prod_n^\infty \Psi_{N_n}(x_n), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where the second quantized operators $A_{\vec{N}_1}^{in}(p_1^+)$, $A_{\vec{N}_2}^{out}(p_2^+)$ are string creation operators for $p^+ < 0$ and string annihilation operators for $p^+ > 0$.

In a functional representation, the interaction Hamiltonian related to the configuration of the two c-open strings on the horizon (i.e for Rindler observers) is bilinear in the fields $\Phi^{in}(p_1^+, x_1(\sigma))$ and $\Phi^{out}(p_2^+, x_2(\sigma))$. It may be written in the number basis as (up to a suitable coupling constant):

$$H_I = \int dp_1^+ dp_2^+ \sum_{\vec{N}_1, \vec{N}_2} C(\vec{N}_1, \vec{N}_2) A_{\vec{N}_1}^{in}(p_1^+) A_{\vec{N}_2}^{out}(p_2^+), \quad (28)$$

which describes the contact interaction between the two strings encoded in the (vertex) state (24), with the $C(\vec{N}_1, \vec{N}_2)$ coefficients given by equation (25).

Then, this Hamiltonian typically describes a dissipative/out of equilibrium system; since for observers (which detect the horizon) in one of these sides, the system/field in the other (hidden) side may be considered “non-physical”. Here both systems are physically realized but as observed by Israel [17], the important fact is that they are causally disconnected. Thus, this structure, with a coupling between physical and non-physical modes, is analogous in form to the Non Equilibrium-TFD, characterized by a Hamiltonian which includes a coupling between the physical and non-physical modes. It is remarkable that in the presence of horizons, while quantum fields of particles produces a scenario similar to equilibrium TFD [17, 14], in this approach, owing to the extended nature of strings, free string fields behave more according to Non Equilibrium-TFD.

Finally, for completeness, from (25) we may explicitly verify that for $\theta = 0$, corresponding to consider the string field in a region distant from the horizon, so as for inertial observers: $C(\vec{N}_1, \vec{N}_2) = 0, \forall \vec{N}_1, \vec{N}_2 \neq 0$. Thus, in this case the contact hamiltonian reads

$$\int dp_1^+ dp_2^+ C(\vec{0}_1, \vec{0}_2) A_{\vec{0}}^{in}(p_1^+) A_{\vec{0}}^{out}(p_2^+), \quad (29)$$

which is irrelevant for the closed string dynamics observed in inertial coordinates. In fact, this encodes a sort of product between Φ^{in} and Φ^{out} that precisely shall be equivalent to a linear term in the closed string field Φ which clearly does not contribute to its own evolution equation.

4 Concluding remarks

We proposed a simplified model where the transition, closed $\rightarrow$ c-open strings, occurring when the string intersects a horizon (and the center of mass acceleration is $a_c \sim 2\pi(l_s)^{-1}$), may be interpreted in this approach as a limit for the equilibrium of the system beyond what there is a transition equilibrium/dissipation rather than a standard phase transition. This critical acceleration may be related to a temperature via Unruh effect; so the cut temperature is $T_c \sim 1/\sqrt{2\alpha'}$ which remarkably *coincides* with the order of magnitude of the Hagedorn temperature T_H . It seems then to be natural to hypothesize the possible identification of these critical temperatures and consequently interpret T_H as being a limit temperature for a system of *non interacting strings* in equilibrium.

It is believed that the Hagedorn regime should be explained in the context of strong coupling with the background space time since the Hagedorn singularity arises by summing over highly excited modes in the partition function. However, this singularity appears *even* for free strings in a Minkowski space time and in this approach we precisely get a simplified model where a singularity is also present, but with some new ingredients related to entanglement and dissipation, which, rather than to be viewed as an explanation, it may shed some light on physics in the Hagedorn phase. In fact, we assumed weakly coupled strings in nearly flat background space times such that the back-reaction is controlled. This conditions are also valid for very massive Black Holes, where near horizon gravity is weak and the metric may be approximated by Rindler [4, 5].

Let us remark that this hypothesis would explain why the partition function corresponding to an *equilibrium ensemble* is ill defined beyond this value (in particular, it diverges). In addition, these results provide a physical mechanism to enforce the approaches where the distance of the horizon $(a_c)^{-1}$ constitutes a cut off in order to evaluate thermal observables (e.g free energy) and obtain finite results. In fact, closer degrees of freedom could not be considered in equilibrium and consequently these observables would be improperly defined in such regions.

Finally, we have also found an horizon filling boundary state, with many of the properties that one should wish to recover in a stretched horizon model.

4.1 Appendix: Induced worldsheet metric in a Rindler spacetime

The string embedding is $X : W \rightarrow M$ is assumed to be smooth for simplicity. τ^μ ($\mu = 0..d$) is the d -vector tangent to the accelerated curve and its affine parameter is T , and let $R_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu R$.

Let us introduce the vector fields $\tau^a := \nabla^a \tau$, $r^a := \nabla^a r$ ($a = 1, 2$) in $T_p W$, and define them through the pull-back

$$\tau^\mu = \tau^a \partial_a X^\mu, \quad (30)$$

$$R^\mu = r^a \partial_a X^\mu. \quad (31)$$

On the other hand, the worldsheet metric is consistently induced via this map from the metric (2)

$$g_{(W)ab} = \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu g_{(M)\mu\nu}, \quad (32)$$

then the line element reads:

$$ds^2 = -R^2 d\tau^2 + dr^2. \quad (33)$$

This may be verified by virtue of the relations:

$$\tau^\mu \tau^\nu g_{(M)\mu\nu} = \tau^a \tau^b g_{(W)ab} = -R^2 \quad (34)$$

$$R^\mu R^\nu g_{(M)\mu\nu} = r^a r^b g_{(W)ab} = 1 \quad (35)$$

$$\tau^\mu R^\nu g_{(M)\mu\nu} = \tau^a r^b g_{(W)ab} = 0. \quad (36)$$

Finally, by using (31) and the definition of the Rindler coordinates (eq. (2)), we can notice that $\partial_\tau R = \tau^a \partial_a R = \tau^a (\partial_a X^\mu \partial_\mu R) = \tau^\mu \partial_\mu R = \frac{\partial R}{\partial T} = 0$. This implies that $R = R(r)$ and it may be chosen as $R(0) = 0$, thus near of $r = 0$ the worldsheet metric takes the form (11):

$$ds^2 = -\kappa r^2 d\tau^2 + dr^2 \quad \kappa = \text{constant}. \quad (37)$$

and κ is a positive constant, due to the signature, which may be taken to be one.

5 Acknowledgements

M. C. B. Abdalla and M. Botta C. acknowledge CNPq and CONICET respectively for financial support. Special thanks are due to Alexandre Gadelha and Dáfní Marchioro for fruitful discussions. The authors would like to thank also to IFT-UNESP (Sao Paulo, Brasil), where this work was initiated.

References

- [1] J. J. Atick and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **310** (1988) 291.
- [2] M. McGuigan, Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 5225 [arXiv:hep-th/9406201].
- [3] Black Holes: The Membrane Paradigm. K.S. Thorne, (Ed.) (Caltech) , R.H. Price, (Ed.) (Utah U.), D.A. Macdonald, (Ed.) (Rockwell Intl., Anaheim), 1986. NEW HAVEN, USA: YALE UNIV. PR. (1986) 367p.
- [4] L. Susskind, L. Thorlacius, J. Uglum , Phys. Rev. D **48** (1993) 3743-3761.
- [5] L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D **49** (1994) 6606.
- [6] V.P. Frolov and N. Sanchez, Nucl. Phys. B 349 (1991) 815; N. Sanchez, Phys. Lett. B 195 (1987) 160.
- [7] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 081601 [arXiv:hep-th/0104066].
- [8] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, JHEP **0209** (2002) 043 [arXiv:hep-th/0205052].
- [9] C. P. Herzog, JHEP **0212** (2002) 026 [arXiv:hep-th/0210126].
- [10] P. Kovtun, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, JHEP **0310** (2003) 064 [arXiv:hep-th/0309213].
- [11] H. J. de Vega and N. G. Sanchez, Nucl. Phys. B **299** (1988) 818.
- [12] M. B. C. Abdalla, A.L. Gadelha and D. L. Nedel, Phys. Lett. B **613** (2005) 213.
- [13] S. Takagi, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **88** (1986) 1.
- [14] A. Iorio, G. Lambiase and G. Vitiello, Annals Phys. **309** (2004) 151.
- [15] A. L. Gadelha, D. Z. Marchioro and D. L. Nedel, Phys. Lett. B **639** (2006) 383.
- [16] H. Umezawa, *Advanced Field Theory: Micro, Macro and Thermal Field*, American Institute of Physics Press, 1995.
- [17] W. Israel, Phys. Lett. A **57** (1976) 107.
- [18] R. Laflamme, Nucl. Phys. B **324** (1989) 233.
- [19] J. Casalderrey-Solana, D. Teaney, JHEP **0704** (2007) 039, hep-th/0701123; See also D. Berenstein and H. Chung, hep-th/0705.3110.
- [20] T. Arimitsu, H. Umezawa and Y. Yamanaka, J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987) 2741.
- [21] T. Arimitsu and H. Umezawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **77** (1987) 53.

- [22] T. Arimitsu and H. Umezawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **77** (1987) 32.
- [23] D. A. Lowe, J. Polchinski, L. Susskind, L. Thorlacius and J. Uglum, Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) 6997 [arXiv:hep-th/9506138].